UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,782	02/08/2001	Frank Venegas JR.	IDS-14502/14	6741
25006 7590 10/05/2010 GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C PO BOX 7021			EXAMINER	
			CANFIELD, ROBERT	
TROY, MI 48007-7021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

The MAILING DATE of this communication appear Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IN WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1360 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, can yreply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing deemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ause the application to become ABANDONE ate of this communication, even if timely filed (2010). Action is non-final.	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). I, may reduce any
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IN WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, can very reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date amed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July	ROBERT J. CANFIELD ars on the cover sheet with the country of the cover sheet with the cover sh	3635 S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). I, may reduce any
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1360 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, can Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date amed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 14 July	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir I apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ause the application to become ABANDONE ate of this communication, even if timely filed. (2010). Action is non-final. The except for formal matters, pro-	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). I, may reduce any
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IN WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1360 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, can Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing deemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ause the application to become ABANDONE ate of this communication, even if timely filed (2010). Action is non-final.	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). I, may reduce any
 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1360 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ca Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date amed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ause the application to become ABANDONE ate of this communication, even if timely filed (2010). Action is non-final. The except for formal matters, pro-	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). I, may reduce any
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July	action is non-final. se except for formal matters, pro	
	action is non-final. se except for formal matters, pro	
	action is non-final. se except for formal matters, pro	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This a	ce except for formal matters, pro	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance	•	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 4-9,11,15,16 and 18-22 is/are pending if 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) 16,18 and 19 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4-9, 11, 15, 20-22 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2010 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam 	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See n is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents l 2. Certified copies of the priority documents l 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicati y documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 3635

1. This Office action is in response to the amendments filed 03/30/10 and 07/14/10. Claims 4-9, 11, 15, 16, and 18-22 are pending. Claims 1-3, 10, 12-14 and 17 have been canceled.

- 2. The new drawings filed 03/30/10 are accepted.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no written description of the cover being molded of a rigid or semi-rigid molded plastic. The language "rigid or semi-rigid" is not found in the original disclosure. The only description of the material begins at line 21 of page 5 and continues to line 8 of page 6 where the material has been defined as "a resilient, durable, and exposure resistant structural plastic that includes materials such as low-density polyethylenes and LEXAN brand polycarbonate". A plastic that is "rigid or semi-rigid" is considered to be of different scope than structural plastic and not fully supported by the original disclosure. There is no standard

Art Unit: 3635

provided as to what is included or excluded by "rigid or semi-rigid". While structural plastic may be rigid or semi-rigid, all rigid or semi-rigid plastics are not necessarily structural plastics.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 4-7, 9, 15, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 4-7 the preamble "the barrier cover" fails to agree with the preamble of claim 19 from which the claims depend rendering the intended scope of the claims unclear. Further, in claims 4-7 "the advertising display" lacks antecedent basis. Note that the advertising display was not introduced until claim 21.

In claim 9, "each side panel" lacks antecedent basis.

The preamble of claim 15 fails to agree with that of claim 11 from which claim 15 depends rendering the intended scope of the claim unclear.

The preamble of claims 20 and 21 fail to agree with that of claim 19 from which claims 20 and 21 depend rendering the intended scope of the claims unclear.

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3635

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 8, 9, 11 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 3,874,103 to Muta.

With respect to claims 8, 9 and 22, Figure 6 of Muta provides a cover 24 of structural plastic having spaced apart front and back panels each having a semi-circular upper portion and a corresponding bottom edge having an open slot 28. As shown in the figures an arc-shaped side panel joins all edges except the bottom edges of the front and back panels. The cover is capable of being placed over and corresponding to a tubular metal guard rail.

With respect to claim 11, Muta further provides that an advertising display 10 can provide with the back panel of the cover and a fastener 18 is provided which is capable of fastening the cover to a guard rail.

- 9. Claim 16, 18 and 19 are allowed.
- 10. Claims 4-7, 15, 20 and 21 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to teach or adequately suggest the semi-circular side

Art Unit: 3635

edge corresponding to the tubular metal guard rail or the outer diameter of the tubular metal guard rail.

12. Applicant's arguments filed 03/30/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The affidavit under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 3/30/10 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claim 22 based upon 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph as set forth in the last Office action because:

Applicant argues that the language "rigid or semi-rigid" is supported by the original disclosure because the original specification discloses the use of "structural plastic" which any person skilled in the art would recognize as being "rigid or semi-rigid". This is not found persuasive because while structural plastics such as low density polyethylenes and LEXAN may be considered rigid or semi-rigid plastic, all rigid or semi-rigid plastics are not necessarily structural plastics. Thus the language of the claims is not fully supported by the original disclosure. Applicant very well could have used the language "structural plastic" in the claims, which has support in the original disclosure.

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3635

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT J. CANFIELD whose telephone number is (571)272-6840. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rich Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert J Canfield Primary Examiner Art Unit 3635

/Robert J Canfield/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3635